





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigninia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  WWW.tapto.gov  FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			
09/720,206		Philip Guy	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO: 82402-3801	CONFIRMATION NO. 9235	
Ade & Compai 1700 360 Main S Winnipeg Manit CANADA	0 Main Street g Manitoba. R3C 373		CHUNDURU, SU  ART UNIT  1637  DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	_	Applicati n N .	I Ameli	
	Advisory Action	09/720,206	Applicant(s) GUY ET AL.	
		Examiner	<del></del>	T
' [	The MAILING DATE of the	Suryaprabha Chunduru	Art Unit	
- 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the conditions	ars on the c ver sheet with the	1637	
	final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  PERIOD FOR REF	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely or b)]	ION FOR ALLOWA tion. A proper reply places the applicat filed Request for C	NCE. to a ion in ontinued
	ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the last forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I selly filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	vision Action, or (2) the date set forth in er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of ILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ite on which the petition under 37 CFR of xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origonater than three months after the mailing 1.704(b).	FINAL REJECTION. So I.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate state of the final Official of the final rejection	ee MPEP
	37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)). The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered becard (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further compared to the proposed they are not deemed to place the application in be issues for appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ they present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims without are not incompared to the present additional claims.	use:  Onsideration and/or search (see	e appeal.	
3.[	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> Applicant's reply has overse	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
5.⊠ 6.□	The affidavit, b) callowable claim(s) would be all the application in condition for allowance because: the amen the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because in raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	lowable if submitted in a separal nsideration has been considered dment is not entered. t is not directed SOLELY to issue	but does NOT places which were newl	e the
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>28-38</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from personal and the properties of the properties		opended.	
	he proposed drawing correction filed on is a) applied the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PT	- 7770/1 aper No(s)	1	
. Patent and	Trademark Office	JEFFR PRIMAI	EY FREDMAN RY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No 24

## Continuati n She t (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: : the new claim limitations comprising "maintaining cell energy within the plant when the plant is subjected to a low oxygen environment and wherein the nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin is a nonsymbiotic plant hemoglobin that is not involved in oxygen diffusion" require further consideration and search.